1 . 2	VILLAGE OF POMONA: NEW YORK BOARD OF TRUSTEES X
3	In Re:
4	PUBLIC HEARING ON PROPOSED BUDGET
5	OPEN SESSION
6	X
7	Thursday 7:30 p.m. April 12, 2007 Town Hall
9	Pomona, New York BEFORE:
0	VILLAGE OF POMONA BOARD OF TRUSTEES
	PRESENT: NICK SANDERSON, MAYOR
.1	BRETT YAGEL, TRUSTEE
2	ALMA ROMAN, TRUSTEE RITA LOUIE, TRUSTEE
3	DORIS ULMAN, VILLAGE ATTORNEY MALVERNE TOLL, SECRETARY
4	
5	
6	
7	Reported by: Neil Bostock, RMR
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	SANDY SAUNDERS REPORTING
	254 South Main Street, 2nd Floor New City, New York 10956
	(845) 634-7561
į	

means that the average tax, village tax, on a home in the village, will be \$558, which represents an increase of about \$78 per household over the last year.

A very brief synopsis of the changes, the principal changes that are made here; the first one being no change; the mayor and trustees are not getting and increase or a decrease in their salaries, but the other village employees have increases of between 3 percent and 3.8 percent.

On page three, in 5.5410.4, which is road paving, we have increased the budget from twenty-five thousand to fifty thousand, to accelerate our repair of village roads.

We have added on page two a brand new category, which is 1990.4A, which is a tax stabilization fund. This by the way, if all the other changes were netted out against each other, represents almost the entire increase in spending for the year in the budget.

Briefly the tax stabilization fund is a method whereby the village can prepare for unforeseen additional costs that could -- unforeseen additional costs, that could increase taxes in the future. And I can give examples of these unforeseen increases,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in terms of a potential of increased garbage costs at some point, garbage removal costs at some time in the future. Unfortunately our garbage contract, which we have been a beneficiary of a very constant amount of money that we have been spending on garbage for the past five to seven years, expires on August the 31st 2008, so we predict that during our rebidding process for this contract, there will be a substantial increase, which will have to be taken care of, obviously by increased taxation.

We also cannot predict our road maintenance costs, road maintenance being the repair of the roads, and the snow removal from our roads. We rely on the two towns in which the village finds itself to handle this for us. The Town of Ramapo gives us a fixed price contract for a twelve month period, but of course it increases from year to year. don't know how much it's going to increase. We hope that it's going to be a small amount.

The Town of Haverstraw on the other hand bills the village on a time and materials basis, and the amount of money that the village has to reimburse Haverstraw for road maintenance is therefore again an unknown, and this unknown in a bad winter for instance could increase our costs dramatically, and

could be representative of an increase in taxes in the future.

This tax stabilization fund can also cover the potential of increased legal costs as a result of litigation against the village, again unforeseen litigation.

We have increased the budget for our fire inspector. Again, on page three, it's category 3620.1A, where we have, in order to accommodate the desire of our emergency services, we have increased the hours that our fire inspector spends in inspections, so that hopefully we can decrease the amount of false alarms that our fire department has to be called out on. We have made a minor change in roads and snow removal to reflect what we know is going to be an increase from Ramapo, and we projected an additional increase from Ramapo as well. That's category 5142.4A on page three.

The balance of these things, we have a reduction in capital projects for the village hall. We just completed painting village hall and we just completed a stone wall that we needed for safety purposes in village hall. We don't really foresee any major capital projects at this point.

Another reduction is a reduction in planner

expenses, as a result of an overall reduction in activity that we see currently. That's on page three, category 8620.4. I'm sorry. Page four, 8020.4A. You're not following along with me and correcting me I see.

As far as revenue is concerned, this is on page five, we are predicting less revenue for planning and engineering fees and less revenue in building department fees, both of which stem from the same perceived reduction in activity in building.

We're also going to receive less money in CHIPS, which is a state fund to help us with road improvements, and that is reflected in category 3501.

At this point, if anybody -- I have one person that would like to speak at the public hearing.

Susan, if you'd like to come up to the podium and the microphone and state your name and affiliation and address.

FROM THE FLOOR: Thank you, mayor. Susan Cooper, 55 Turnpike Road, Nanuet.

Congratulations Mr. Mayor and to the new board candidates. It's the first time I've seen you sitting up here.

MAYOR SANDERSON: Thank you.

FROM THE FLOOR: I just wanted to ask a couple of questions about the budget. I'm curious, and I don't know whether you're going to answer me or just whether you'll make a list.

MAYOR SANDERSON: It depends upon what the questions are, whether I can answer it immediately. I'm not going to make this into a debate.

FROM THE FLOOR: Exactly.

MAYOR SANDERSON: However, if I can answer it quickly, I will. If not I will answer you in writing afterwards.

FROM THE FLOOR: Okay. On page two of the budget, under the law section, 1420.4, contractual, I was just curious what that's for? I do see that you budgeted 40, you anticipate spending 17, and you're budgeting 40 for '07.

MAYOR SANDERSON: The category contractual in legal expenses, is all attorneys' costs, other than our village attorney, and our deputy village attorney.

FROM THE FLOOR: Is that a kind of contingency fund as well, to the extent that you really don't know what is happening this year, you anticipate 17?

MAYOR SANDERSON: Well, we are in more than one lawsuit that we are paying outside attorney's fees

1.5

on, so it's not really a contingency. This is something that we consider could easily be the correct amount that we're going to spend in the next twelve months.

FROM THE FLOOR: Then just below that also in codes enforcement, 1421.4, contractual, you budgeted 1250, you spent 1900, and you're anticipating 8700.

MAYOR SANDERSON: It goes along with the category immediately above it, which is the code enforcement officer's salary at this particular point in time. He took, for his own personal reasons, a reduction in salary, and we have therefore -- I have therefore put his actual salary in the budget, but in the event that I have to hire a different code enforcement officer, I put the difference in his salary into contractual, so that it can be moved back without an increase in budget, back into salary, if necessary.

FROM THE FLOOR: Is the reduction in his salary in anticipation of fewer hours?

MAYOR SANDERSON: No.

FROM THE FLOOR: Are you able to share what that's based on?

MAYOR SANDERSON: It's a personal matter. I'd prefer not to discuss it.

FROM THE FLOOR: Your contingency account 1990.40, where you had sixty-five budgeted, you spent none, and you're budgeting fifty, where does that sixty-five go?

MAYOR SANDERSON: If it's not used it goes into surplus. However, it hasn't been used for many years. But it is a rainy day fund. It's -- unlike the next one, which continues from year to year, that does not continue from year to year. You either spend it during the year or you lose it --

FROM THE FLOOR: By lose it you mean it goes into surplus, it doesn't remain on that line?

MAYOR SANDERSON: Exactly, it does not remain on the line. However, if there was a category that considerably exceeded the budget, that's where the funds would come from, without having to break the budget.

FROM THE FLOOR: Before I get into the tax stabilization fund, the new fund you spoke of that's a hundred thousand dollars, I'm just curious, I read this quickly today, it looks to me that the difference between what was budgeted for '06 and what you anticipate spending in '06 is two hundred forty three thousand seven hundred and some odd dollars. Is that money that's still sitting in the

2.4

budget or is that money you didn't collect?

MAYOR SANDERSON: It is -- obviously it's money that -- you know, the budget is a budget, it's a guideline, and yes the funds were not spent, but you can balance that, if you look further down through the budget, or further down through revenue. Our anticipated revenues decreased as well so in fact both sides of the coin are affected, but it is only a budget after all.

FROM THE FLOOR: Understood. My real question is, is there a \$243,000 surplus from --

MAYOR SANDERSON: You have to look at the revenue page as well, and equate the two together, and you'll find that you can do the math quite easily.

FROM THE FLOOR: Have you done that, what your surplus is?

MAYOR SANDERSON: It's basically a thousand dollars or twelve hundred dollars but we haven't come to the end of the year yet. We don't actually know yet.

FROM THE FLOOR: But if you spend what you anticipate you're saying there isn't going to be a lot of surplus?

MAYOR SANDERSON: There is not going to be a

large surplus.

FROM THE FLOOR: There is not, okay.

I was wondering what you attribute the reduction in building activity to?

MAYOR SANDERSON: Building activity goes up and down. From the previous budget certainly there was a major project that did not move along as fast as we expected, so we had less cost and less revenue. We do however expect that particular project to come in to the village during the next twelve months.

FROM THE FLOOR: What project is that?

MAYOR SANDERSON: It's called Halley 2.

FROM THE FLOOR: Now the tax stabilization fund, the newspapers report, and it's hard to believe that it's not a part of your consideration, the fact that you believe that you'll get some litigation on the proposed rabbinical college; is that correct?

MAYOR SANDERSON: I don't know, but at some time in the future, since your firm has threatened the village in the newspaper, one can only assume that that may happen.

FROM THE FLOOR: That's your interpretation. We did not. But what I wanted to say, I want to ask you a couple of questions about that fund, and wondered, had you ever had a fund like that before?

You obviously didn't have it in '06.

MAYOR SANDERSON: Not since I've been on the board.

FROM THE FLOOR: Which is how long?

MAYOR SANDERSON: Nine years.

FROM THE FLOOR: And is there anything particular that encouraged you to do it this time?

MAYOR SANDERSON: I'm a fiscal conservative, and I believe in being a fiscally prudent person, and I believe that there are aspects of what is going to happen to the village over the next twelve months, or actually fourteen months, that make the creation of a fund that will stabilize taxes in the future, in the event of some unforeseen cost coming into the village.

FROM THE FLOOR: Okay. So you have -- you have what would have been your usual contingency fund on one line and now you have an additional contingency fund?

MAYOR SANDERSON: That is correct.

FROM THE FLOOR: And I understand you're anticipating increased garbage with new contracts and you never know what happens with snow removal and road repairs; is there anything else that you have in mind?

MAYOR SANDERSON: No.

FROM THE FLOOR: Are you able to tell us how much you budgeted for paying Marcie Hamilton?

MAYOR SANDERSON: It's a moot question. Marcie Hamilton doesn't work for the village.

FROM THE FLOOR: And have you budgeted a specific amount for an attorncy to retain in the event of a lawsuit concerning the rabbinical college?

MAYOR SANDERSON: No.

FROM THE FLOOR: Then I would just like to say, thank you for your time, and also say to you that we have already made an overture to the village to speak with us about our plans. There is a lot in the newspapers that are phantom concerns; even your concept that we have threatened, from what you've read in the newspaper. Our concern is to meet with the village, explain to the village what it is that we propose, and to sit down and talk to whoever is appropriate, whether it's, you know, the mayor, whether it's the planning board, whoever you deem appropriate. Many places have CDRCs, I don't know if you have it, where you sit down before projects are developed and you discuss what the concerns are.

We spent two years developing the EIS. We

3 .

2.4

would love to sit down with you. We know what we'd like to build, we know what we believe are real issues and what are phantom issues. We'd like to share it with you. We'd like to accommodate the village's real concerns, and we'd like you to know what -- we'd like to know what yours are, and we'd like you to know what we see are problems and what are not problems, and so, to all the new members of the board, we invite you, in whatever form you want to sit down with us and look at these proposals and discuss them, because I believe that you're envisioning a lawsuit, and probably putting aside substantial funds that increase taxes on the lawsuit is premature.

The usual pattern is to discuss it first. You say, that's crazy, we want you to do this, we want you to do that, and we're prepared to do that.

We're prepared to accommodate any reasonable concerns, and to share with you what our two years of studies have shown.

MAYOR SANDERSON: Thank you.

MS. ULMAN: May I ask her a question?

MAYOR SANDERSON: By all means.

MS. ULMAN: Are you proposing an application or a concept at this stage?

FROM THE FLOOR: We would propose a concept to you, yes.

MS. ULMAN: Okay.

(Inaudible discussion between members)

MAYOR SANDERSON: I think she meant all the members of the board.

FROM THE FLOOR: No, the board, the mayor, the planning board, whoever it is.

MAYOR SANDERSON: You addressed the new members.

FROM THE FLOOR: Alma, I apologize. We already offered to the existing board, and that's continuing. I'm just letting the new board members know that we made that offer and it's open still, and we encourage it.

MAYOR SANDERSON: I have no other -- okay, come on up.

FROM THE FLOOR: Alan Lamer, 2 North Ridge Road, Pomona. Just two items, one was pointed out by my children, which is on page three, for parks; in the prior year we had ten thousand dollars budgeted, and spent almost the entire amount, \$9,675, at least that's what is projected, and I see for the next fiscal year you are now budgeting \$5,000. Are we cutting back on the maintenance of the parks?